MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

TWELVE OAKS HOSPITAL C/O LAW OFFICE OF P MATTHEW ONEILL 6514 MCNEIL DR BLDG 2 STE 201 AUSTIN TX 78729

Respondent Name

INSURANCE CO OF THE STATE OF PA

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 19

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-98-8219-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "On December 12, 1996, the Texas Supreme Court upheld a 1995 court of appeal's decision which had invalidated the Texas Workers' Compensation Commission's per diem payment rules for inpatient hospital services....Insurance carriers should process those resubmitted bills, which must comply with the Commission's requirements set out in Title 28 Texas Administrative Code & 134.800, in accordance with the provisions of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act."

Amount in Dispute: \$11,607.05

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Based upon the re-evaluation of the resubmitted bill our audit company recommended no additional payment."

Response Submitted by: AIG Claims Services, Inc., P.O. Box 15300, Dallas, TX 75201-0300

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
April 30, 1997 to May 3, 1997	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$11,607.05	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. Former 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305, effective June 3, 1991, 16 *Texas Register* 2830, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

- 2. Former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f) effective October 7, 1991, 16 *Texas Register* 5210, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for the services in dispute.
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
- 4. This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on November 13, 1997.
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 426- Reimbursed to fair and reasonable
 - 253- In order to review this charge we will need a copy of the invoice.
 - M- Reduced to Fair and Reasonable
 - N- Not documented
 - D- Duplicate charge
 - · F- Reduced According to Fee Guidelines.
 - 277- These charges have already been billed and paid for according to fee schedule and/or reasonable guidelines. No further payment is due.

Findings

- 1. The insurance carrier denied disputed services with reason code D "DUPLICATE CHARGE." The duplicate billing was submitted for the purpose of requesting reconsideration of the original claim determination. The respondent did not provide documentation to support duplicate payments. Therefore, this payment denial reason has not been supported. The disputed services will be reviewed per applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.
- 2. This dispute relates to inpatient hospital services. The former agency's Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.400, 17 TexReg 4949, was declared invalid in the case of Texas Hospital Association v. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, 911 South Western Reporter Second 884 (Texas Appeals Austin, 1995, writ of error denied January 10, 1997). As no specific fee guideline existed for acute care inpatient hospital services during the time period that the disputed services were rendered, the 1991 version of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f) applies as the proper Division rule to address fee payment issues in this dispute, as confirmed by the Court's opinion in All Saints Health System v. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission, 125 South Western Reporter Third 96 (Texas Appeals Austin, 2003, petition for review denied). 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1(f), effective October 7, 1991, 16 Texas Register 5210, requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, sec. 8.21(b), until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."
- 3. The former Texas Workers' Compensation Act section 8.21 was repealed, effective September 1, 1993 by Acts 1993, 73rd Legislature, chapter 269, section 5(2). Therefore, for services rendered on or after September 1, 1993, the applicable statute is the former version of Texas Labor Code section 413.011(b), Acts 1993, 73rd Legislature, chapter 269, section 1, effective September 1, 1993, which states, in pertinent part, that "Guidelines for medical services fees must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. The commission shall consider the increased security of payment afforded by this subtitle."
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305(d)(7), effective June 3, 1991, 16 *Texas Register* 2830, requires that the request shall include "copies of all written communications and memoranda relating to the dispute." Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the request does not include a copy of EOB's or other written communications and memoranda pertinent to the dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.305(d)(7).
- 5. Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
 - The requestor's position statement asserts that "Insurance carriers should process those resubmitted bills, which must comply with the Commission's requirements set out in Title 28 Texas Administrative Code & 134.800, in accordance with the provisions of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act."
 - The requestor has not articulated a methodology under which fair and reasonable reimbursement should be calculated.
 - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the amount sought would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
 - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the disputed services.
 - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would satisfy the

requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

Authorized Signature

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

		12/09/2011
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.